

FIFTH WOUND:

An ominous alliance between Church and State

The reaction of the ecclesiastical hierarchy to the measures taken against the coronavirus since the beginning of 2020 consisted in a disastrous and very concrete alliance between the State and the Church, affecting a large part of humanity. The directives of governments and international institutions were followed without question.

Pope Francis even introduced in the Vatican an ordinance according to which Vatican City workers and visitors were required to present a health certificate from Covid-19, attesting to vaccination or immunization after having passed the disease¹. Those officials who refused to be vaccinated had to resign. The Supreme Pontiff was in no way sympathetic to priests who objected to government measures against the coronavirus and, for example, continued to offer liturgical celebrations in countries where the government had banned them because of confinements.

But this is not the only case in which this unholy alliance is evident. Time and again, Pope Francis has exhorted the faithful to give obedience to international institutions and supranational bodies, without saying a word about the anti-Christian agenda they pursue in many areas, such as promoting abortion². Thus, obedience to such institutions can in no way be generalized. Rather, it is necessary to examine with a fine spirit of discernment which orders can be obeyed and which cannot.

The three years of the Covid-19 crisis have clearly shown that such close cooperation between Church and State is not only fruitless, but can even be detrimental to humanity.

Let us recall the dense and large shadow that the Covid crisis cast over this world, changing it in just a few days in ways that were unimaginable to us until then. Following the example of China, where the outbreak occurred and then spread to almost every country in the world, drastic measures were taken to prevent the spread of the coronavirus. The WHO declared a global public health emergency, and the vast

¹ <https://www.catholicregister.org/faith/item/33517-vatican-to-require-vaccination-proof-or-negative-covid-19-test>

² At the press conference during the flight back from Mozambique, Madagascar and Mauritius, Pope Francis said: "When we acknowledge international organisations and we recognise their capacity to give judgment (...), if we consider ourselves humanity, when they make statements, our duty is to obey".

majority of governments followed its instructions, which from then on began to determine people's lives.

The goal was to vaccinate the entire world's population as quickly as possible to combat the virus. However, the promises made in connection with this "vaccine"³ and the false hopes created did not stand up to reality.

It soon became clear that, after vaccination, people were neither protected from the virus nor did they prevent others from contracting it⁴. Similarly, the masks that had to be worn did not help to prevent the spread of the virus; they even had harmful effects on health⁵. A very atypical scenario for liberal states emerged: those scientists who warned against vaccination and predicted its negative consequences were suddenly marginalized, although they had enjoyed great prestige until then⁶. They were effectively branded as false prophets or "conspiracy theorists". Alternative treatments for Covid-19 were rejected or even banned because they allegedly lacked scientific basis.

In short, only one valid narrative was allowed, and it was vehemently and uniformly promoted by the media and public authorities. Any other information or criticism of the methods used quickly became the object of suspicion.

As a result, an unhealthy atmosphere developed in society, as people who, for reasons of faith or common sense, opposed the measures imposed - especially "vaccination" - were often excluded from public life. An "unvaccinated" person was seen as a non-conformist, someone who behaved selfishly, irrationally, and irresponsibly toward society.

Now, three years later, some of the people who were in favor of the vaccination are beginning to realize that they fell for a hoax. Many have suffered negative effects, both

³ Since "vaccines" against SARS-CoV-2 are not vaccines in the conventional sense, but "the administration of an experimental substance based on genetic material", as defined by attorney Dr. Michael Brunner, I will henceforth use the term "injection".

⁴ In an urgent letter, more than 160 experts called the COVID vaccines "unnecessary, ineffective and unsafe" and the cause of "foreseeable mass deaths" (<https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/160-experts-slam-covid-vaccines-as-unnecessary-ineffective-and-unsafe-in-powerful-letter>).

⁵ A Stanford study published in April 2021 found that face masks do not help prevent the spread of coronavirus infections and that their use is actually harmful: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7680614/>

⁶ The microbiologist Prof. Sucharit Bhakdi, whose scientific expertise was always highly respected until he criticized coronavirus vaccines, warned early on about the possible consequences of vaccination. He was recently charged with sedition. (<https://diebasis-partei.de/2023/05/solidaritaet-mit-prof-sucharit-bhakdi/>).

physical and psychological. Unfortunately, it cannot yet be said that this realization is a widespread phenomenon. A sad reality!

So far, it has not been sufficiently admitted that the number of deaths after vaccination has increased compared to previous years⁷, that the number of miscarriages and fetal deaths has increased⁸, that more and more people are suffering from the negative consequences of the injection... There was statistical manipulation and corruption of all kinds. Journalists were not allowed to report on the negative effects, and Internet sites were required to remove critical reports.

By all means, the false official narrative had to be maintained.

As I mentioned at the beginning, unfortunately, Church leaders willingly participated in the dissemination of the official narrative and the implementation of the corresponding measures. This is all the more disconcerting when one considers that the four Covid-19 injections conditionally approved in Europe used cell lines from aborted children, either in their development phase, in production, or in subsequent laboratory testing. Normally, this would represent a conflict of conscience for the Catholic faithful. However, just before the start of the vaccination campaign, the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith issued a note stating that, in view of the danger of this pandemic, it would be licit to undergo a morally questionable injection because participation in procured abortion would be only "remote" and indirect⁹.

In some cases, the promotion of the "vaccine" by Church leaders reached grotesque proportions. In Vienna, St. Barbara's Chapel in the famous St. Stephen's Cathedral was turned into a vaccination center, where people could go even during religious ceremonies to be inoculated¹⁰. In other words, these sacred places were desecrated because they are reserved for prayer and not for a vaccination campaign.

⁷ In the case of Germany, it has now been shown that in 2020, at the height of the "pandemic", there was no discernible excess mortality. From the spring of 2021, there was a sudden and steady increase in mortality that is evidently temporally related to vaccination.

⁸ There have been more fetal deaths in pregnant women following vaccination with Covid-19 than from all vaccines ever administered combined over the past 30 years. One study shows that between 82% and 91% of pregnant women miscarry after Covid "vaccination" when the fetus is less than 20 weeks old (<https://transition-news.org/2433-todesfalle-bei-schwangeren-frauen-nach-covid-19-impfung>).

⁹ Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith (2020), Note on the morality of the use of some Covid-19 vaccines: *"The fundamental reason for considering the use of these vaccines morally licit is that the kind of cooperation in evil (passive material cooperation) in the procured abortion from which these cell lines originate is, on the part of those making use of the resulting vaccines, remote. The moral duty to avoid such passive material cooperation is not obligatory if there is a grave danger, such as the otherwise uncontrollable spread of a serious pathological agent"*.

¹⁰ <https://www.orderofmalta.int/news/vaccinations-in-st-stephens-cathedral-vienna/>

Thank God, there were also some warning voices in the hierarchy and clergy of the Church. In the letter "Veritas liberabit vos", several cardinals and bishops expressed their concern about the events that were taking place and stressed that it was incompatible with Catholic morality to use embryonic cell lines for medical purposes¹¹.

Pope Francis' statement that "to get vaccinated (...) is an act of love: love for oneself, love for our families and friends, and love for all peoples" was destructive and misleading for many people¹². His slogan: "It is an ethical choice because you are gambling with your health, with your life, but you are also gambling with the lives of others"¹³ was used, for example, by the Pontifical Academy for Life.

The Pope is not just a private person who can simply express his personal opinion. The Catholic faithful are accustomed to obey the Pope, and many regard him as a spiritual father. Therefore, when the Pope speaks publicly in this way, many Catholics, even if they were unsure before, no longer have any qualms about submitting to this injection. On the other hand, with these words, the Pope indirectly accused those faithful who had a different point of view and refused the vaccination for justified reasons of being uncharitable. This is a serious accusation for a Christian, since charity is a divine commandment that must not be violated under any circumstances.

It was a particularly sad chapter in the history of the Church and a deep wound in the Mystical Body of Christ that priests and other collaborators of the Church were often forced by their bishops to submit to the injection¹⁴. There have even been cases in which bishops, invoking the principle of obedience, have demanded it of priests. As far as I know, something similar happened in a monastery I know.

In those difficult times we lived through during the so-called pandemic, the leaders of

¹¹ In their appeal to the Church and the world, "Veritas liberabit vos," Cardinals Müller and Zen, Archbishop Viganò and Bishop Strickland, along with numerous physicians, lawyers, journalists, and Catholic leaders, stated, "*Let us also remember; as Pastors, that for Catholics it is **morally unacceptable** to develop or use vaccines derived from material from aborted fetuses*".

¹² <https://www.vaticannews.va/en/pope/news/2021-08/pope-francis-appeal-covid-19-vaccines-act-of-love.html>

¹³ <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-pope/pope-francis-to-have-covid-19-vaccine-says-it-is-the-ethical-choice-for-all-idUSKBN29E0LY/>

¹⁴ "For those who have not yet been vaccinated, I must urge them to do so." Reminding clergy of the oath of obedience is something he does "very rarely," said Bishop Fürst of the Diocese of Rothenburg-Stuttgart in Germany. He expects his clergy to do all they can "through action and prayer" to "help overcome the pandemic and protect our fellow human beings, and therefore to get vaccinated" (<https://www.katholisch.de/artikel/32441-erinnerung-an-gehorsam-bischof-fuerst-ruft-priester-zur-impfung-auf>)

the Church were by no means the prophetic voice that would have publicly insisted on the need to find methods to combat the virus that did not contradict its moral principles. People did not find guidance in the Church, much less those who saw that something was wrong with this injection and the way in which others were being coerced. And they were not few!

Above all, the Church hierarchy did not ask why God allowed this plague, such an essential question for a person of faith. They did not consider that perhaps the Church itself had spiritually opened the doors to this plague because of the transgressions it had committed. Perhaps then they would have realized that only a few weeks earlier the idolatrous Pachamama cult had taken place in the Vatican, which may have offended God so much that He withdrew His protective hand and allowed the coronavirus crisis to occur.

Calls for prayer, fasting and penance to ask God to put an end to this plague were hardly heard.

Thus, it is clear that the leaders of the Church acted as agents of those powers who imposed draconian measures on humanity: churches were closed, the administration of the sacraments was temporarily suspended, communion in the mouth was denied, the liturgy was disfigured by the obligatory use of masks, holy water was replaced by disinfectant gel...

It is urgent that those responsible become aware that, as with the other wounds inflicted on the Church, they allowed themselves to be carried away by the spirit and attitudes of the world, were deceived and then deceived others. A painful but necessary realization! If one does not admit this, one will continue to collaborate with the antichristian powers.

Although the other four wounds that we have mentioned are more intra-ecclesial in nature, they have in common with this fifth wound that "other spirit" that we have identified in the previous ones. There is a real danger that, as global and anti-Christian systems of governance emerge, broad sectors of the Church hierarchy will not recognize them as such and will even cooperate with them.

In such a case, the faithful who do not allow themselves to be blinded will have to go for a time into the "spiritual desert" as described in chapter 12 of the Book of Revelation.